Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/672,040	OLIJVE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James W. Rogers, Ph.D.	1618
All Participants:	Status of Application: _	
(1) James W. Rogers, Ph.D.	(3) <u>Mike Hartley</u> .	
(2) Roger Pitt.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 January 2006	Time: <u>10:30 AM</u>	
Type of Interview:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
all pertinent claims		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	-	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a ware record of the substance of t	ritten summary of the substance he interview, since the interview
	•	
•	•	
		<del> </del>
O Mrs		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	nt/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Rogers contacted applicants counsel Roger Pitt registration number 46996 concerning a proposed examiners amendment for application number 10/672,040 to include the limitation within claim 25 into claim 23 so that claim 23 included a pharmaceutically suitable ingredient. Also examiner Rogers proposed to amend claim 44 by deleting the words "nutritionally or" and "or cosmetically". These amendments were proposed because of applicants response to an election/restriction in which applicants elected without traverse an oil in water emulsion further comprising a pharmaceutical ingredient. Roger Pitt explained to the examiner that he did not believe this amendment was necessary because the generic claim 23 is allowable. Upon discussing this issue with his supervisor Mike Hartley examiner Rogers called Roger Pitt at around 11 AM on 1/18/2006 and stated to Roger Pitt that the examiner will withdraw the election/restriction requirement filed 05/04/2006 and allow all the outstanding claims 23,25,27-40,42 and 44-49.